UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,221	11/12/2003	Heiko Taxis	15111-000166	6314
	7590 10/06/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828	•	STEPHEN, EMEM O		
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/706,221	TAXIS, HEIKO
	Art Unit
CHARLES N. APPIAH	2617

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	view filed 25 June 2009.			
 Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s): 	and a conference will not be held for the following			
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the P ☐ Other: 	eview is appropriate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run f the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No				
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.				
The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	laim(s) is as follows:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has beer Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits re applicant at this time.				
4. ⊠ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by a				
All participants:				
(1) <u>CHARLES N. APPIAH</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>EMEM STEPHEN</u> .	(4)			
/Charles N. Appiah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617				